INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

Mine file No : MAH/CND/LST-20/NGP

Mine code : 38MSH08022

| (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : |) KEWAL KRISHAN KQ13 & S.M.Dorle |
|-------|--|---|--|
| (ii) | Designation | : | SMG and AME |
| (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | Shri R.R.PRASAD, GM Mines |
| (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 7/12/2018 |

(v) Prev.inspection date : 28 /09 /2018

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : MARATHA (579.90 HA) |
|----|-------------------|--|--|
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : IBM/447/2011 |
| | (c) (d) (e) | Category Type of Working Postal address State District | : A Fully Mechanised : : MAHARASHTRA : CHANDRAPUR |
| | | Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone | : SONAPUR : RAJURA : UPPARWAHI : 442908 : : |
| | (f) | Police Station | : |
| | (g) (h) | Weekly day of rest | : |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : |
| 3. | | Lease area Period of lease | : MSH0012 : 579.9 : 50 : 30/12/2034 |

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee
M/S AMBUJA CEMENTS LTD.
ELEGANT BUSINESS PARK
GREATER BOMBAY MAHARASHTRA
Phone:
FAX :

6. Date of approval of Mining

Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988
20/02/2015

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | In SOM for period 2014- 15 to 2018- 19, drilling of 89 boreholes withof 3940m of meterage was proposed at a grid interval 100m X 100m or closer to understand the geology of the intricate deposit and behavior of floor of limestone | The proposed drilling was completed in the year 2014-15 . A total of 86 boreholes were drilled with a meterage of 4456 m, at 100m X 100m grid interval. | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | nil | area already explored under G1 level | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | nil | nil for the year 2017 18 | _ |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | nil | nil | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | reserves as on 01/01/2018 | <pre>34 MT under 111 11 MT under 121 28 MT under 211 25 MT under 221 6 MT under 332</pre> | |
| Deve | elopment : | | | |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |

PAGE : 4

| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | N2180000 N 2180200 E 311400 E 311800 N 2179420 N 2179500 E311820 - E312000 N2179380 E 312200 E 312400 N2179750 N2179750 E310460 E310720 N2179560 E310460 E310720 N2179560 E310600 310940 N2180560 N2180700 E310640 | as per proposal | From N2179300- N2180200 E310460- E312400 |
|----|--|--|---|---|
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | yes | yes,there are separate benches in top soil, OB,/waste | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.22 | 1:0.31 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 30000 | 25530 | |

| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | Total=189182 M3 | 228665m3 Soil 25530 Sub-grade | m3 | |
|----|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----|------------------------------|
| | generation in mo | Top Soil 30000 m3 | 2 | | |
| | | | Reject | | |
| | | Sub-grade73181 m3 | 66195 m3 | | |
| | | Reject 86000 m3 | | | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting | | | | The development comprises of |
| | officers on | | | | limestone/shaly |
| | development of | | | | limestone and |
| | pit w.r.t. type | | | | shale hence the |
| | of deposit etc | | | | development has |
| | | | | | been proposed |
| | | | | | according to |
| | | | | | quantity and |
| | | | | | quality of the |
| | | | | | cement plant |

Sl.No. Item

Propasals Actual work

Remarks

| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | N2180000 N 2180200 E 311400 E 311800 | as per proposal |
|----|---|--|------------------|
| | | N 2179420 N 2179500 E311820 - E312000 | |
| | | N2179300 N2179380 E 312200 E 312400 | |
| | | N2179750 N2179800 E310460 E310720 | |
| | | N2179560 N2179750 E310460 E310720 | |
| | | N2179480 N2179560 E310600 310940 | |
| | | N2180560 N2180700 E310520 E310640 | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 1.5 MT | 1.49MT |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 0.172 MT | 0.132MT |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Cao <34 | as per prop[osal |

| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | 0.161 MT | 0.301MT | |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | 34 to 42 % Cao | as per proposal | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | mechanised crushing | mechanised crushing | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | no such proposal | nil | Crushing & reducing the size -80 mm for plant supply |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Yes, As per the present practice, down the hole drill machine of 150mm size drill bit are deployed for drilling the blast holes. Rock breakers are also being used for breaking oversized boulders and explosive for blasting. | yes as per proposal | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | yes | Drill, Shovel (Hydrallic), Dumper (35 T) & Dumper (55 T) | All the operations are through mechanical means as the mine is fully mechanized Category A mine. |

| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | yes | as per proposal | Bench height is 8.0 m and is appropriate as per the method of mining |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| Зm | Total area covered under excavation/pits | As per the approved mining plan for the period 2014-15 to 2018-19, area under excavation/pit s as on 1.4.2014 was 48.54 ha, additional requirement during the five year proposal period was 40.64 ha. Net area under excavation/pit s as per proposal made will be 89.18 ha. | As per the Annual returns submitted for the year 2017-18, area covered Under excavation/ pits is 73.55 ha | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.22 | 1:0.31 | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | | Mining: 73.55 ha, waste disposal 19.29 ha, Infrastructure and road 10.06 ha other purpose (plantation)26.38 ha, Total Area put to use:129.29 ha | |
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2015-16-1.5 MT | 2017-18 - 1.49 MT 2016-17 - 1.23 MT 2015-16 - 1.21 MT 2014-15 - 1.22 MT 2013-14 - 1.23 MT | |

3q General remarks
 of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining
 etc.

Method of mining is Category A opencast and is fully mechanized , which is justified in terms of Capital expenditure, production requirements for feeding the Cement Plant..

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | yes | yes, top soil , OB and minerals rejects are stacked seperately | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Top soil N2179460 N2179580 E310300- E310430 Subgrade N2178860 N21791200 E 311670 E312140 WD N2178500 N2178500 N2179000 E 311420 E311880 | as per proposal | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 04 within lease area | as per proposal | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | within lease area | within lease area | on -non mineralized area towards , south and south west |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | 04 | as per proposal | |

| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | nil | nil | |
|----|--|---------------------|--|---|
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | nil | nil | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | nil | Already made earlier & only being maintain in time to time | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | | L=2500m, W=1m, D=1m | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | no such proposal | nil | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | Dump is surrounded by garland drains and retaining wall as protective measured to avoid wash-off and degradation of adjacent land. The waste dump are located outside the UPL & overall waste dump management aspect is satisfactory for the lease area. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | no such proposal | no such proposal | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | nil | nil | |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | | | Concurrent use of top soil is being done for plantation on dumps. |
|----|--|-----|-----|---|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | nil | nil | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | No backfilling has been proposed upto the conceptual period and pits developed are proposed to be converted into water reservoir. Further, waste dumps are proposed to be stabilized through plantation upon attaining maturity. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|-------------|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | yes | yes | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | nil | nil | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 1.00 ha | 3.75 ha | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 2500 | 9382 | |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | | 109619 | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | nil | nil | |

| бg | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | | 1078930/= |
|----|---|---|---|
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | nil | nil |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | nil | nil |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | nil | nil |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | nil | nil |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | nil | nil |
| бm | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Green belt development over 1.0 ha area. | 3.75 ha of lease area covered through plantation for the year 2017-18. |

| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | | nil |
|----|--|---------------------|-----------------|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | no such proposal | nil |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | | as per proposal |

6q General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. Afforestation and Environment Monitoring in the core zone and buffer zone are being done regularly.

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|-----------------|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM is not dispatched, ins tead, ROM after rushing and sizing and blending with sub-grade (if required) is being dispatched to cement plant. Grade dispatched is Cement grade Limestone. | as per proposal | |

| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | mechanised | mechanised | |
|----|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | cement grade limestone | as per proposal | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | | The mechanical crushing of limestone is being undertaken wherein the size of Limestone is reduced to make it amenable for use as raw feed. | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | ROM after crushing is being fed to kiln. Some studies are still underway to upgrade the quality alongwith higher yield. at the mine level, sub-grade is being stacked separately and is being used for blending as and when required. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|----------------------------------|---|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | yes | yes | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | yes | for plantation | 2400 m3 top soil has been utilized for plantation |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | yes | dumps are maintained seperately. | |

| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | no such proposal | nil | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Dumps are stabilized through terracing and plantation | Dumps are stabilized through terracing and plantation | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | yes | yes | On top soil dump slope & along lease boundary |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% | almost as per proposal | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | yes | 3 Nos Water tanker deployed | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Aesthetic beauty is good due to the plantation carried out within the lease area by the lessee and also the workplace is clean and general cleanliness is being maintained |

| Compl | iance o | f Rule | 45: | | |
|--------|---------|--------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Sl.No. | Item | | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |

| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | | M.R. Submitted upto Oct 2018 A.R. submitted for the year 2017-18 |
|----|--|-----|---|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | yes | provided |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | yes | provided |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | yes | provided |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | yes | provided |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | yes | provided |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | yes | provided |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | yes | provided |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | yes | provided |

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Viola | tion observed | Show couse position | |
|----------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |

Date :

(KEWAL KRISHAN)

Indian Bureau of Mines